s industry becomes increasingly global the importance of international standards increases, as well. How can U.S. companies, government agencies, and other organizations ensure that their voices are heard and their interests are protected? The U.S. Technical Advisory Group (U.S. TAG) is the only avenue for U.S. stakeholders to provide input to technical committees in the International Organization for Standardization and the International Electrotechnical Commission. The Acoustical Society of America (ASA) administers nine of these U.S. TAGs.

This paper presents an overview of the process by which international standards are developed and explains how U.S. stakeholders, working through the American National Standards Institute and the ASA, can participate in the development of standards on acoustics; bioacoustics; electroacoustics; noise; mechanical vibration, shock and condition monitoring; and most recently, underwater acoustics.

Although there are many organizations that develop standards that are used worldwide—Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE), ASTM International, ASME, and others—this discussion is limited to standards developed under the auspices of either the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) or the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC). These two non-governmental and non-treaty organizations are located in Geneva, Switzerland. Although they are separate organizations, they have converged over the years in many ways so that today they follow a common set of operating procedures that are set out in the two Parts of the ISO/IEC Directives,1,2 which were most recently revised in 2011. However there are still some differences in procedures, so each organization also maintains a Supplement setting out those unique points.3,4

The IEC is the older of the two organizations, having been formed in 1906. Its scope of work is limited to electrical and electronic technologies. The ISO was founded in 1947 and its scope encompasses virtually everything that is not covered by the IEC. This distinction is increasingly difficult to define as more and more products and processes are both electronic and mechanical and as ISO ventures into areas that were formerly outside its scope such as customer services, training and qualification of personnel, social responsibility, and others.

What are international standards?

ISO and IEC standards are referred to as “voluntary consensus standards.” The use of a standard is generally voluntary; in most cases a user can decide to apply a standard or not to do so. If there is more than one applicable standard, the user may generally elect to choose one over the other. Standards are not laws. However, some standards are referenced in law and may therefore be required for that particular application. The use of a particular standard also may be required by contract or some other agreement.

In ISO and IEC, the term “consensus” is defined in the ISO/IEC Guide 2:2004 as “General agreement, characterized by the absence of sustained opposition to substantial issues by any important part of the concerned interests and by a process that involves seeking to take into account the views of all parties concerned and to reconcile any conflicting arguments. NOTE Consensus need not imply unanimity.”5 In the ISO/IEC process there are many opportunities for stakeholders to have a voice in the development of ISO or IEC.

Together, ISO and IEC comprise hundreds of technical committees and subcommittees (TCs and SCs) whose members are countries represented by the national standards body of each country. (The members are referred to as “national member bodies” in ISO and “national committees” in IEC. For convenience, we will use “national member bodies.”) There is no opportunity for individuals, corporations, or organizations to participate directly in ISO or IEC.

Each national member body may elect to become a P-member (Participating) or to become an O-member (Observing) of a TC or SC, or may not follow that TC or SC at all. Since P-members have an obligation to participate they are expected to set up a national committee to follow the work of that TC or SC. These are often referred to as “mirror committees.” In the U.S. the national mirror committees are called U.S. TAGs.

The role of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI)

Both ISO and IEC operate on a model similar to that of the United Nations—one country, one vote. ANSI is the sole U.S. member body of ISO. The U.S. National Committee (USNC) to the IEC is a division of ANSI.

ANSI contracts with U.S. stakeholders to organize U.S. Technical Advisory Groups for each subject. Those U.S. TAGs tell ANSI or the USNC how to vote.

Participation in the U.S. TAG for a particular ISO or IEC committee is the only avenue for U.S. stakeholders to have a voice in the development of ISO or IEC standards in that committee. All U.S. TAGs are formed in ANSI’s name but
they are organized, managed and funded by the stakeholder organization.

**How does ASA fit in?**

Compared to other organizations of its size, ASA has an unusually large role in international standards. ASA administers nine U.S. TAGs:

- Electroacoustics (IEC/TC 29)
- Acoustics (ISO/TC 43)
- Noise (ISO/TC 43/SC 1)
- Underwater acoustics (ISO/TC 43/SC 3)
- Mechanical vibration, shock and condition monitoring (ISO/TC 108)
- Measurement and evaluation of mechanical vibration and shock as applied to machines, vehicles and structures (ISO/TC 108/SC 2)
- Use and calibration of vibration and shock measuring instruments (ISO/TC 108/SC 3)
- Human exposure to mechanical vibration and shock (ISO/TC 108/SC 4)
- Condition monitoring and diagnostics of machines (ISO/TC 108/SC 5)

In addition to organizing and administering these nine U.S. TAGs, ASA also provides the international secretariat for three of the ISO committees:

- Mechanical vibration, shock and condition monitoring (ISO/TC 108)
- Condition monitoring and diagnostics of machines (ISO/TC 108/SC 5)
- Underwater acoustics (ISO/TC 43/SC 3)

**Steps in the ISO/IEC standards development process**

ISO standards are developed in a series of steps, each strictly limited in regard to the time allocated to complete it. (The longest possible timeframe is 4 years, which is the timeframe referenced in the text below.) By their nature, standards are very practical documents. They are prepared to address a specific issue or problem identified by the people working in the trenches. So every standards development project starts when someone identifies a need.

Figure 1 shows a simplified version of the ISO steps and also the points where the members of the U.S. TAG have input at each step. Figure 2 shows the process used to acquire the U.S. TAG input.

The first step in the ISO process is the “New work item proposal” (NP). The proposal may come from various sources such as any P-member country or from within the committee itself. The key factor is that the idea almost always comes directly from the stakeholder community rather than being imposed “from above” by an outside authority. Whatever the source, the person wishing to make the proposal must complete a form explaining the scope of the project and justifying the need. Issues such as conflict or duplication of pre-existing standards and the potential to develop a “globally relevant solution” to the identified problem are
addressed. The proposal usually includes a draft, or at the least, an outline of the proposed project. The proposal is balloted, for a three-month voting period, among the national member bodies that participate in or observe that particular technical committee or subcommittee. During this period, each member body conducts national consultations to determine its national vote. The ASA Standards office contacts the members of the U.S. TAG to invite them to review and comment on the draft. You can see that being a member of the TAG allows U.S. stakeholders—even those who cannot participate on the WG directly because of constraints on time or travel—to have a voice in the voting. This is the best time for TAG members to submit substantive comments—the document is mature but still malleable. The CD is approved when, in the judgment of the TC or SC chair, consensus has been achieved. (This is usually considered to require approval by at least 2/3 of the P-members voting, but other factors may also be weighed by the chair.)

The comments that arise from the CD ballot are collated and returned to the WG for consideration. The WG discusses and provides a written response to each comment. (Depending upon the number and nature of the comments, this comment resolution process may be accomplished at a face-to-face meeting, a web or teleconference meeting, or by e-mail.) If a comment is accepted changes usually are made in the draft to address it. The revised draft is prepared for circulation to a wider audience at the Enquiry Stage—called Draft International Standard (DIS) in ISO and Committee Draft for Vote (CDV) in IEC—when it is presented for voting to the entire membership of ISO or IEC for a five-month voting period. The DIS or CDV must be registered within 24 months after approval of the new work item proposal. National consultations are conducted and U.S. TAG members are invited to review and comment. (At this stage, many of the national member bodies will trans-

**HOW TO SUCCEED IN STANDARDS WORK**

- Know your goals. Focus on what is most important.
- Be willing to compromise where you can. Listen carefully to make sure you understand what is important to others in the group.
- Propose work items that have value to you. Don’t wait and hope that someone else will do it.
- Be willing to serve on the Working Group (WG)—better yet volunteer to chair it.
- Attend WG meetings—wherever they are.
- Offer to write text or provide graphics.
- Provide constructive and specific comments and input.
- Provide input at the beginning of the process.
U.S. TAG membership and benefits

For the U.S. TAGs administered by ASA, the TAG members are organizations (companies, government agencies, associations and professional societies, academic groups, etc.). The U.S. TAGs strive to be diversified and represent all stakeholders. Membership is open to all organizations with "direct and material interest." The U.S. TAG members pay a fee to ASA that helps offset about one-half of ASA’s costs to administer the U.S. TAG. (Members of the U.S. TAG to IEC/TC 29 will also be assessed an additional fee by the USNC to the IEC.) The ASA has a sliding-scale fee schedule that varies by the type and size of the organization and the number of TAGs (or national standards committees) it joins. Membership in a U.S. TAG is unrelated to any person’s individual membership in ASA.

Each U.S. TAG member organization appoints a person to vote and comment on its behalf. On any given U.S. TAG action, each member should submit one unified set of comments and one vote. The voting representative may consult with other experts in that company or organization to decide how to vote, but they have only one vote. Another important function of the U.S. TAG is that it provides the pool of experts who may be appointed to ISO/IEC WGs.

Summary

It is clear that there are many benefits gained from U.S. TAG membership and active participation in the development of international standards.

- U.S. TAG members have multiple opportunities to influence the content of a standard that they will use.
- U.S. TAG members are able to monitor the activity of the committee to gain advance intelligence about plans for future changes to a standard. It is always better to be proactive than reactive.
- Participation allows U.S. TAG members to ensure that their products can be exported worldwide.
- International Standards are often used in support of, or in place of, government regulation. Particularly in the case of foreign governments, U.S. TAG members would not generally have any voice in shaping these regulations.
- Participation in the U.S. TAG shows that your company or organization is a leader in your field.
- And, of course, participation offers a great opportunity to network with other experts in your field.

Visit www.standardsboostbusiness.org to see case studies and learn more about the value of direct participation in the development of International Standards that affect your business or organization.
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